

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,504	01/30/2002	Lorraine M. Martinez	261/151 ·	1829
30452	7590 03/31/2005		EXAM	IINER
<b>EDWARDS</b>	LIFESCIENCES CO	WEBB, SARAH K		
ONE EDWAF			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
~~~~~~	IRVINE, CA 92614			
			D. TE M. W. ED. 02/21/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/066,504	MARTINEZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sarah K Webb	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	arch 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the orect Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
· ·	•				
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/066,504 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 4 and 5, filed 3/16/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 11-17 under Tsugita and Don Michael have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Bates and Gillick et al.

NOTE: Though the arguments mention independent expansion and contraction mechanisms (page 5, line 1), the claims only include independent expansion mechanisms.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,096,053 to Bates.

In Figure 7, Bates discloses a device with two filters (16A and 16B) fixed to elongate members (12,30). Bates explains in column 5, lines 64-66, that means can be provided for expanding the filters independently of one another.

Art Unit: 3731

3. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,383,206 to Gillick et al.

Gillick discloses a device in Figures 2 and 3 that includes two filters (46,48) fixed to elongate wire (34). As shown in Figure 1, a sheath (10) covers both filters and is removable in a proximal direction. Distal sheath (38) provides means for independent expansion of the filters.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,458,502 to Don Michael et al. in view of Bates.

Don Michael discloses a device with two filters that are also configured to trap debris between them, as shown in figure 4B. The distal end of the first filter is bonded to an elongate member, and the proximal end of the second filter is bonded to an elongate member. The filters are formed as a plurality of struts covered by a mesh, as shown in figures 5 and 6. A sheath (10) covers both filters in a compressed configuration. Don Michael fails to provide a means for independent expansion of the filters.

The filters of Bates are also configured to trap debris (28) in the space defined between them, as shown in figure 7. The distal portion of the first filter (16B) is

Application/Control Number: 10/066,504 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

bonded to the elongate member, while the proximal portion is expanded outwardly. The proximal end of the second filter (16A) is bonded to the elongate member while the distal portion expands outwardly. Bates teaches that a mechanism, even as simple as an extra wire, should be provided for independently expanding the two filters (column 5, lines 64-66). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a means for independently expanding the two filters of Don Michael, as Bates teaches that improves the versatility of the filter device for capturing debris in a body passageway.

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent No. 4,643,184 to Mobin-Uddin discloses a device with two filters fixed to an elongate member.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah K Webb whose telephone number is (571) 272-4706. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,504 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SKW 3/29/05 SKW

JULIAN W. WOO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Julian W. Woo